UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686,719	10/17/2003	Jong-Phil Lee	44663	8798
Peter L. Kendal	7590 02/28/201 I	EXAMINER		
Roylance, Abra Suite 600	ms, Berdo & Goodma	LAI, MICHAEL C		
1300 19th Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20036			2457	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/28/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/686,719	LEE, JONG-PHIL	
	Art Unit	
MICHAEL C. LAI	2457	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 5 January 2011.			
 Improper Request – The Freason(s): 	Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following			
☐ The request does not inc	s not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. lude reasons why a review is appropriate. is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.			
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.				
held. The application remains units required to submit an appeal bound brief will be reset to be one mont running from the receipt of the no	nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been der appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant rief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal h from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period bitice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date able.			
The panel has determin Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from con				
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by			
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.			
All participants:				
(1) MICHAEL C. LAI.	(3) <u>Yves Dalencourt</u> .			
(2) <u>Saleh Najjar</u> .	(4)			
/Michael C Lai/ Examiner, Art Unit 2457	/saleh najjar/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2455			